APPENDIX B.—*contd.*

(*f*) No.
(*g*) 115.
(*h*) Confirmed 21
Reserved 2
(Modified 6 and result not yet known in the rest.)

MR. SETTARA SIDDAPPA (Tarikere Taluk).—

Q.—34. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) The amount of expenditure incurred by the State in connection with the Round Table Conferences?

(*b*) Whether any portion of this expenditure has been borne by the British Government; if so, the amount they have borne?

(*c*) Whether the Dewan Saheb had not been deputed by some other Native States also; if so, whether they have borne any portion of this expenditure?

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—34. (*a*)

	1st Conference	*2nd Conference*
	About Rs. 81,900	Rs. 60,000
(*b*)	About Rs. 9,900	Rs. 5,000

(*c*) The Dewan represented the States of Travancore and Cochin also at the first conference and the States of Jaipur and Jodhpur at the second conference.

He was not deputed by any of these States and no contribution was expected from them.

MR. SETTARA SIDDAPPA (Tarikere Taluk).—

Q.—35. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) The amount of land revenue during 1931-32 and its sources?

(*b*) Whether they are aware that the recovery of land revenue assessment in the Tarikere Taluk is carried on so very briskly as is not warranted even by rules?

(*c*) Whether it is warranted by any rules to proceed with revenue sales as soon as demand notices are served?

(*d*) Whether any confidential orders have been issued to revenue authorities of the Tarikere Taluk to resort to such methods?

(*e*) Whether the Government are prepared to enquire into cases where revenue sales were held in the months of

March and April although demand notices were issued in the month of February only?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT.—

A.—35. (*a*) Attention is invited to Appendix A to Budget Estimates for 1932-33.

(*b*) Recovery is made according to rules.

(*c*) Attention is invited to Chapter XI of the Land Revenue Code wherein the procedure to be adopted to recover revenue arrears is laid down.

(*d*) Such sales are permissible under the rules where circumstances call for them.

(*e*) No.

MR. M. ABDUL AZIZ (Chikmagalur Municipality).—

Q.—36. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) What is the pay fixed by Government to Panchayet Inspectors? Was this pay given to all the incumbents who worked as Panchayet Inspectors?

(*b*) Is it a fact that some Panchayet Inspectors, who were Sanitary Inspectors formerly, were given their grade pay as Sanitary Inspectors and were also given their yearly increments in Hassan District?

(*c*) Is it a fact that the Revenue Commissioner has sanctioned the increment of some Panchayet Organisers who were Sanitary Inspectors formerly?

(*d*) Is it a fact that the increments of Panchayet Inspectors of the Kadur District have not been sanctioned though represented several times by these officials?

(*e*) Is it a fact that the Revenue Commissioner has recently ordered that the increment cannot be recommended for sanction to Government?

(*f*) If so, how the Panchayet Inspectors of other districts, who were also Sanitary Inspectors, got their increments sanctioned?

GENERAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMEMT.—

A.—36. (*a*) Rs. 60 per mensem in the case of taluks and Rs. 40 per mensem the case of sub-taluks. The places have since been abolished.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) Yes.

(*d*) Yes.